

PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION
International Bureau



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁷ : C10G 33/04	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 00/50541
		(43) International Publication Date: 31 August 2000 (31.08.00)
<p>(21) International Application Number: PCT/US00/02109</p> <p>(22) International Filing Date: 28 January 2000 (28.01.00)</p> <p>(30) Priority Data: 09/258,618 26 February 1999 (26.02.99) US</p> <p>(71) Applicant: EXXONMOBIL RESEARCH AND ENGINEERING COMPANY [US/US]; P.O. Box 390, 180 Park Avenue, Florham Park, NJ 07932-0390 (US).</p> <p>(72) Inventors: VARADARAJ, Ramesh; 18 Aberdeen Circle, Flemington, NJ 08822 (US). SAVAGE, David, William; 5 Fieldstone Drive, Lebanon, NJ 08833 (US). BRONS, Cornelius, Hendrick; 28 Fairview Street, Washington, NJ 07882 (US).</p> <p>(74) Agents: SCUORZO, Linda et al.; ExxonMobil Research and Engineering Company, P.O. Box 390, Florham Park, NJ 07932-0390 (US).</p>		<p>(81) Designated States: CA, JP, SG, European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE).</p> <p>Published <i>With international search report.</i></p>
(54) Title: CHEMICAL DEMULSIFIER FOR DESALTING HEAVY CRUDE		
<p>(57) Abstract</p> <p>The invention is directed towards a process for desalting crude oil that requires less wash water than conventional desalting methods. In the practice of the invention, a chemical demulsifier formulation comprising an emulsion-breaking chemical and a solvent is added to the crude oil. Wash water may be added to the crude oil until the volume of water in the oil ranges from about 0.5 to about 8 vol. %. Subsequently, the mixture of crude oil, wash water when present, and chemical demulsifier formulation may be subjected to electrostatic desalting.</p>		

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece			TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	ML	Mali	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MN	Mongolia	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MR	Mauritania	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MW	Malawi	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	MX	Mexico	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NE	Niger	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NL	Netherlands	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NO	Norway	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	NZ	New Zealand		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakhstan	PT	Portugal		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RO	Romania		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	RU	Russian Federation		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SD	Sudan		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SE	Sweden		
EE	Estonia			SG	Singapore		

CHEMICAL DEMULSIFIER FOR DESALTING HEAVY CRUDE

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The invention is related to non-phenolic chemical demulsifier formulations useful for crude oil desalting

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Crude oil contains varying amounts of inorganic salts. The presence of such salts presents difficulties during crude oil processing such as corrosion of the oil processing equipment. In order to mitigate the effects of corrosion resulting from the presence of salts, it is advantageous to reduce the salt concentration to the range of 3 to 5 ppm by weight of the crude oil. This concentration corresponds to approximately 2 pounds of inorganic salts per 1,000 barrels of crude oil.

Among the crude oil desalting methods in use today, electrostatic desalting is frequently used with crudes containing 0.5 to 2% water. Wash water is added until the crude's water content is in the range of 4 to 8 vol.%, and a chemical emulsion breaker is added so that the oil and the aqueous phases can be separated for storage or further processing. As used herein, a crude oil emulsion is a stable mixture of crude oil and a suspended aqueous phase, which may be in the form of droplets stabilized by naturally occurring surface active compounds in the crude oil. Additionally, inorganic fines such as clay particles can contribute to emulsion stabilization. Dispersing added wash water into the crude increases both the average droplet number density and the droplet surface area available for binding the surface active components. Increasing droplet surface

area results in a reduction in droplet coverage by the surface active components; this results in a decrease in emulsion stability and an increase in droplet coalescence.

In electrostatic separation, weaker brine droplets in the mixture of crude oil, washwater, and chemical emulsion breaker coalesce in between electrodes located in the oil phase. The coalesced aqueous droplets then settle below the oleaginous crude oil phase. The separation may recur in a separator where an effluent brine may be removed. Treated crude containing 3-5 ppm inorganic salts is removed from the upper part of the separator. Intermediate between the oil phase and the brine phase is an undesirable "rag" layer comprising a stable oil-water emulsion and solids. The rag layer may remain in the desalter vessel or it may be removed therefrom for storage or further processing.

Electrostatic desalting may undesirably require adding a substantial amount of wash water to the crude prior to desalting. Frequently, water must be purchased for this purpose. Another difficulty in electrostatic desalting results from the quantity and quality of effluent brine, which itself may require further processing before discharge.

Other problems associated with electrostatic desalting include crude incompatibility and the formation of undesirable emulsions. For example, electrostatic desalting becomes more difficult as a crude's concentration of asphaltenes, resins, waxes and naphthenic acids (i.e., "heavy" or "waxy" crudes) increases. Rag layers at the water-oil phase boundary also result in processing difficulties that become more serious as the emulsion becomes more stable or increases in size.

Consequently, there is a need for a crude oil desalting method that limits the formation of undesirable emulsions, is effective with heavy and waxy crudes, that minimizes the quantity of water added prior to crude treatment, and that minimizes the quantity of effluent brine.


Some conventional desalting methods use a demulsifier having a phenolic moiety. In some cases, the presence of such a moiety would be undesirable, and there is therefore a need for a crude oil desalting process that does not make use of a phenol-containing demulsifier.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

In one embodiment, the invention is a crude oil desalting process, comprising:

adding to the crude oil a chemical demulsifier formulation, the chemical demulsifier formulation being present in an amount ranging from about 1 ppm to about 10,000 pm based on the weight of the crude oil and containing:

(a) about 10 wt.% to about 80 wt.% based on the weight of the chemical demulsifier formulation of a surfactant having the formula:



wherein R_1 is H or an alkoxide of from 5 to about 20 carbon atoms;

x is an integer of from about 8 to about 22 when R_1 is hydrogen and from about 2 to about 5 when R_1 is alkoxide;

R_2 is selected independently from H, $(CH_2CH_2O)_mH$, and $(CH_2CH(CH_3)O)_mH$;

R_3 is selected independently from H, $(CH_2CH_2O)_nH$, and $(CH_2CH(CH_3)O)_nH$;

m and n are integers from 1 to 50;


and y and z are integers from 2 to 10;

and

(b) about 90 wt.% to about 20 wt.% based on the weight of the chemical demulsifier formulation of a delivery solvent selected from the group consisting of dipropylene monobutyl ether, aromatic naphtha, isoparaffinic solvent, cycloparaffinic solvent, aromatic solvent, diethylene glycol monobutyl ether, benzyl alcohol, and mixtures thereof.

In another embodiment, the invention is a composition comprising a crude oil containing a brine of salt and water together with a chemical demulsifier formulation, the chemical demulsifier formulation being present in an amount ranging from about 1 ppm to about 10,000 ppm based on the weight of the crude oil and containing:

(a) about 10 wt.% to about 80 wt.% based on the weight of the chemical demulsifier formulation of a surfactant having the formula:



wherein R_1 is H or an alkoxide of from 5 to about 20 carbon atoms;

x is an integer of from about 8 to about 22 when R_1 is hydrogen and from about 2 to about 5 when R_1 is alkoxide;

R_2 is independently selected from H, $(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{O})_m\text{H}$, $(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)\text{O})_m\text{H}$;

R_3 is independently selected from H, $(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{O})_n\text{H}$, $(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)\text{O})_n\text{H}$;

m and n are integers ranging from 1 to 50;

and y and z are integers ranging from 2 to 10;

and

(b) about 90 wt.% to about 20 wt.% based on the weight of the chemical demulsifier formulation of a delivery solvent selected from the group consisting of dipropylene monobutyl ether, aromatic naphtha,

isoparaffinic solvent, cycloparaffinic solvent, aromatic solvent, diethylene glycol monobutyl ether, benzyl alcohol, and mixtures thereof.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWING

Figure 1 shows a dynamic interfacial tension plot for a crude oil sample with and without the chemical demulsifier formulation.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The invention is based on the discovery that brine droplet coalescence in crude oil can be enhanced by adding chemical emulsion breakers to the crude oil emulsion and then subjecting the mixture to electrostatic desalting. Typically, brine droplets in crude oil are stabilized by a mixture of surface active components such as waxes, asphaltenes, resins, and naphthenic acids that are electrostatically bound to the droplet's surface. Such components provide an interfacial film over the brine droplet resulting in highly elastic collisions between droplets during processing, resulting in diminished droplet coalescence.

While the invention can be practiced with any crude oil containing a brine, it is preferably practiced with heavy or waxy crude oils. Heavy or waxy crude oils have one or more of the following characteristics:

- (a) The crude oil has an API gravity ranging from about 5 to about 30.


- 7 -

(b) The crude oil has a high naphthenic acid concentration, characterized by a high "TAN" number (the TAN number represents the number of milliequivalents of potassium hydroxide required to neutralize 1 gram of crude oil).

(c) The fraction of the crude oil soluble in N-heptane ranges from about 0.5 wt.% to about 15 wt.%.

Adding water to the crude can decrease the amount of the surface active components on the surface of each droplet because the number of droplets is increased without increasing component concentration. It has been discovered that the amount of added water required for desalting may be minimized by adding a chemical emulsion-breaker to the crude that is capable of displacing the surface active components from the brine droplets.

Chemical emulsion-breakers useful in the practice of the invention do not have phenolic moieties. Preferably, the chemical emulsion-breakers are three-tailed surfactants having the formula:



wherein R₁ is H or an alkoxide of from 5 to about 20 carbon atoms;

x is an integer of from about 8 to 22 when R₁=H and from about 2 to 5 when R₁ is an alkoxide;

R_2 is selected independently from the group consisting of H, $(CH_2CH_2O)_mH$, and $(CH_2CH(CH_3)O)_mH$;

R_3 is selected independently from the group consisting of H, $(CH_2CH_2O)_nH$, and $(CH_2CH(CH_3)O)_nH$

m and n are integers from 1 to 50; and y and z are integers from 2 to 10.

Such surfactants are described in U.S. Patent No. 5,672,739, incorporated by reference herein.

Preferably, the chemical emulsion-breaker is used in combination with a delivery solvent. Delivery solvents useful in the practice of this invention include diethylene glycol monobutyl ether, dipropylene glycol monobutyl ether, aromatic naphtha, isoparaffinic solvent, cycloparaffinic solvent, aromatic solvent, oxygenated solvents, such as diethylene monobutyl ether benzyl alcohol, and mixtures thereof. The preferred formulation comprises about 10 wt.% to about 80 wt.% chemical emulsion breaker and about 20 wt.% to about 90 wt.% diethylene glycol mono butyl ether. Particularly preferred is a formulation of about 50% chemical emulsion-breaker and about 50% diethylene glycol mono butyl ether.

An effective amount of the chemical emulsion-breaker-delivery solvent formulation ("chemical demulsifier formulation") is combined with the crude oil. An effective amount of the formulation is the amount necessary to displace the surface active component from the brine droplets and render the brine droplets more amenable to coalescence. The effective amount ranges from

about 5 ppm to about 10,000 ppm based on the weight of the crude oil, with about 20 ppm to about 40 ppm being preferred.

In the preferred embodiment, a crude oil and a chemical demulsifier formulation are combined and then desalted under electrostatic desalting conditions. Electrostatic desalting is known to those skilled in the art of crude oil processing. Accordingly, the crude is desalted in a vessel having electrodes at potentials ranging from about 10,000 volts to about 40,000 volts, A.C. or D.C. Voltage gradients present in the vessel range from about 500 volts per inch to about 5,000 volts per inch, preferably at a potential ranging from about 500 to about 1,000 volts per inch. Crude oil temperature ranges 220°F to about 300°F, and residence times range from about 1 to about 60 minutes, preferably from about 1 to about 15 minutes.

In the practice of the invention, mixing energy may be applied to the mixture of the crude oil emulsion and chemical demulsifier formulation in order to increase brine droplet coalescence rate. When mixing is used, it is important to carefully control mixing geometry and mixing energy. The mixing may be conventional ("static") or opposed-flow, and may occur in the same vessel as electrostatic desalting.

In opposed-flow mixing, two or more counter-currents of the mixture of crude oil emulsion and chemical demulsifier impact and intermingle. Opposed propeller(or impeller) and opposed jet (or nozzle) configurations are nonlimiting examples of opposed-flow mixing.

In the opposed-propeller geometry, at least two counter-rotating propellers are immersed in the crude oil-brine mixture in order to form opposed

streams within the mixture. The streams of the mixture impact and intermingle in the volume between the propellers. The propellers may be in close proximity in the same reservoir or vessel, in different regions of the same vessel, or in connected vessels or reservoirs with baffles or pipes providing conducting means for directing the streams to a region where opposed-flow mixing can occur. Parameters such as propeller spacing, propeller angular speed, and the nature of any conducting means may be determined by those skilled in the art of mixing from mixture properties such as viscosity and the desired mixing energy.

In the opposed jet geometry, the crude oil-brine mixture is separated into at least two streams. Conducting means such as pipes are used to direct the streams into an opposed-flow configuration. Accordingly, the longitudinal axes (the axes in the direction of flow) and the outlets of the pipes are oriented so that the streams impact and intermix in a region between the outlets. Preferably, two opposed pipes are employed and the angle subtended by the longitudinal axes of the pipes is about 180°. The outlets may be in the form of nozzles or jets. As in the opposed propeller geometry, parameters such as the surface area of the conduits, the flow rate of the mixture in the conduits, the size and shape of any nozzle or jet employed, and the distance between the outlets may be determined by those skilled in the art of mixing from mixture properties such as mixture viscosity and the desired mixing energy.

Importantly, when mixing is used, the mixing energy rate is controlled in a range where brine droplet coalescence occurs. Too great a mixing energy would result in brine droplet break-up, and too low a mixing energy would result in too few brine droplet collisions. Mixing energy rates (mixing power) ranges from about 0.1 hp per 1000 gallons of the mixture of crude oil emulsion and chemical demulsifier to about 3 hp per 1000 gallons, with

about 0.2 hp per 1000 gallons to about 0.5 hp per 1000 gallons being the preferred range. The invention can be practiced when the mixture's temperature ranges from about 20 to 150°C and viscosity ranges from about 1 to about 250 cP. Preferably, mixture temperature ranges from about 80°C to about 130°C and viscosity ranges from about 1 to about 75 cP. Care should also be taken to prevent undesirable water vaporization during mixing. Water vaporization can be substantially reduced or prevented by increasing mixing pressure.

In some cases, it may be desirable to add a small amount of wash water to the brine-crude oil mixture in order to optimize the coalescence rate and to extract salt that is not present in a brine phase. When used, the amount of added wash water ranges from about 0.5 to about 8.0 vol.% water based on the total volume of the crude oil, preferably from about 0.5 to about 3.0 vol.%.

While not wishing to be bound by any theory, it is believed that efficient brine droplet coalescence occurs when droplet collision frequency is increased and when individual droplets can be made to collide with an energy great enough to overcome the droplets' interfacial surface tension so that a larger droplet is formed upon collision. When mixing is used, mixing energy should not exceed the point at which two droplets collide to produce three or more droplets. Moreover, mixing energy should not be so small that the droplets merely collide and recoil away from each other without coalescing, as would happen in cases of insufficient mixing energy. The presence of surface or interfacially active species on the droplets' surfaces can result in raising or lowering the droplets' surface or interfacial energy. The presence of treatment solutions affecting such species may further alter the droplets' interfacial energy. Accordingly, mixing energy under opposed-flow conditions may vary in the

practice of the invention, depending on the presence of treatment solutions or stabilization species.

Conventional static mixing is not as effective as opposed-flow mixing in the practice of invention because, it is believed, droplet collisions occur too infrequently and at too low an energy to cause coalescence. In conventional mixing, the neighboring droplets are at rest or move at small velocities with respect to each other, the energy of mixing being directed towards macroscopic fluid motion.

It should be noted that opposed-flow mixing results in one brine droplet coalescence even in cases where the crude oil-brine mixture does not contain a demulsifier or any other treatment solution. Accordingly, opposed-flow mixing can be used to remove droplets of any undesirable liquid impurity suspended in a continuous phase of a second liquid. In addition to crude oil-brine mixtures, such mixtures include crude oil products that contain process-water impurities, droplets in crude oil products resulting from the use of liquid hydrophilic catalysts, mixtures derived from the neutralization of acidic crude oil or products derived from crude oil, and mixtures derived from the caustic treatment of crude oil products and polyurea. It is advantageous to use opposed-flow mixing to enhance droplet coalescence in mixtures that do not contain a demulsifier or treatment solution when the presence of such a demulsifier or treatment solution would be incompatible with or would otherwise undesirably affect the mixture.

As set forth above, chemical demulsifier formulations and opposed-flow mixing, whether used alone or in combination, are useful in improving electrostatic desalting processes. In addition, it has been discovered

that such mixing and formulations, alone or in combinations, are useful in improving other common forms of brine-crude oil separation, such as gravitational (settling) and centrifugal separation. In gravitational separation, for example, the increase brine droplet size resulting from the use of chemical demulsifier formulations, opposed-flow mixing, or both, shortens the retention time necessary for desalting.

EXAMPLES

In order to illustrate the invention one member of the surfactant class was synthesized. A C18 hydrocarbon chain length amine with a 10 mole ethylene oxide was neutralized with 0.5 molar equivalent of sulfonated oleic acid to result in the triple tail surfactant. For the surfactant used in these examples, R_1 is H, R_2 and R_3 are $(CH_2CH_2O)_{10}H$, x is 18, y is 8, and z is 7. The chemical demulsifier contained 50 wt.% of the surfactant and 50 wt.% of dipropylene glycol n-butyl ether delivery solvent. A heavy crude blend {1:4 San Joaquin Valley (SJV): Alaskan North Slope} was chosen to demonstrate the invention.

Example 1 - Dynamic Interfacial Tensiometry Performance

Dynamic interfacial tensiometry was used to determine the dynamic effectiveness of the demulsifier formulation. It is desirable to lower the crude oil-brine interfacial tension to a value of <5 dynes/cm within about 2 seconds of contacting of the aqueous and oil phases.

Figure 1 shows the interfacial tension versus time profile for the SJV/ANS crude blend without demulsifier and with 20 ppm of the triple tailed surfactant demulsifier formulation measured against brine. We observe that the

emulsifier formulation not only lowers the crude-brine interfacial tension to a value of <5 dynes/cm but the equilibrium interfacial tension is reached within 3 seconds of introduction of sea water into crude oil containing the demulsifier. The effectiveness of the demulsifier formulation in rapidly reducing the interfacial tension is indicative of potentially good demulsification performance.

Example 2 - Desalting Performance

A crude oil blend comprising 50 gms of San Joaquin Valley (SJV) and 200 gms of Alaskan North Slope (ANS) was prepared in a 500 ml polyethylene bottle. The mixture was tumbled for about 20 mins in a conventional paint mixer type tumbler. This starting blend was analyzed for moisture and chloride content (entry #1, Table 1).

20 ppm of the chemical demulsifier was added to the blend, and the resulting mixture was tumbled for about 20 minutes before being divided into two sub-samples.

Sub-sample 1 was subject to electrostatic desalting at 80°C for 30 minutes. The treated crude was analyzed for moisture and chloride (entry #2, Table 1).

Sub-sample 2 was subject to opposed-flow mixing, as set forth below, prior to electrostatic desalting. The treated crude was analyzed for moisture and chloride (entry #3, Table 1).

Opposed-Flow Turbulence Procedure

200 grams of sub-sample 2 was added to a 300 ml autoclave equipped with two laboratory marine propeller mixers (1" blade). To create opposing liquid flows, the top propeller's pitch was reversed compared to the pitch of the bottom blade. This arrangement directs the top blade's liquid flow downward and opposite to the upward liquid flow of the bottom blade. The distance between the blades was about 2 inches. The mixture was pressurized to about 700 kPa with nitrogen to minimize water vaporization. The sub-sample was mixed at about 400 rpm, 80°C at a pressure of about 1000 kPa for 30 minutes. The mixture was cooled to room temperature with ice cold water surrounding the autoclave, while the mixer speed was at 200 RPM and the heater turned off.

As a control, the crude blend without addition of chemical demulsifier formulation was subject to electrostatic demulsification alongside sub-samples 1 and 2. The treated crude was analyzed for moisture and chloride (entry #4, Table 1).

Electrostatic desalting was conducted in a model EDPT-128™ electrostatic dehydration and precipitation tester available from INTER-AV, INC., San Antonio, Texas. Demulsification was conducted at an 830 volt/inch potential for 30 minutes at a temperature of 80°C.

Results in Table 1 demonstrates the effectiveness of the chemical demulsifier formulation. The formulation is effective in dehydrating (80%) and desalting (<5 ppm chloride) the crude blend when subject to electrostatic demulsification.

TABLE 1


CRUDE OIL TYPE	Salt Concentration (ppm)	% Dehydration
1. SJV/ANS crude blend	30	-
2. SJV/ANS crude blend + 20 ppm demul. + electrostatic field	<5	80
3. SJV/ANS crude blend + 20 ppm demul. + opposed-flow turbulence + electrostatic field	5	79
4. SJV/ANS crude blend + electrostatic field	10	72

CLAIMS:

1. A method for desalting a crude oil containing a brine of salt and water, the method comprising:

adding to the crude oil a chemical demulsifier formulation, the chemical demulsifier formulation being present in an amount ranging from about 1 ppm to about 10,000 ppm based on the weight of the crude oil and containing:

(a) about 10 wt.% based on the weight of the chemical demulsifier formulation of a surfactant having the formula:



wherein R_1 is H or an alkoxide of from 5 to about 20 carbon atoms;

x is an integer of from about 8 to about 22 when R_1 is hydrogen and from about 2 to about 5 when R_1 is alkoxide;

R_2 is independently selected from H, $(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{O})_m\text{H}$, and $(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)\text{O})_m\text{H}$;

R_3 is independently selected independently from H, $(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{O})_n\text{H}$, and $(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)\text{O})_n\text{H}$;

m and n are integers from 1 to 50;

and y and z are integers ranging from 2 to 10;

and

(b) about 90 wt.% to about 20 wt.% based on the weight of the chemical demulsifier formulation of a delivery solvent selected from the group consisting of dipropylene monobutyl ether, aromatic naphtha, isoparaffinic solvent, cycloparaffinic solvent, aromatic solvent, diethylene glycol monobutyl ether, benzyl alcohol, and mixtures thereof.

2. The method of claim 1 further comprising separating the brine from the crude oil and formulation under electrostatic desalting conditions at a temperature ranging from about 220°F to about 300°F, at an electrostatic potential ranging from about 500 to about 5000 volts per inch and for a time ranging from about 15 to about 30 minutes.

3. The method of claim 1 further comprising:

adding wash water to the crude oil until the concentration of wash water in the crude oil ranges from about 1 vol.% to about 8 vol.% based on the volume of the crude oil, and then

separating the brine from the crude oil and formulation under electrostatic desalting conditions.

4. The method of claim 2 further comprising mixing the crude oil and formulation under opposed-flow conditions at a temperature ranging from about 20°C to 150°C, for a time ranging from about 1 minute to about 50 hours, and at a viscosity ranging from about 1 cP to about 250 cP.


5. The method of claim 4 wherein the mixing power under opposed-flow conditions ranges from about 0.1 hp per 1000 gallons to about 3 hp per 1000 gallons.

6. The method of claim 3 further comprising mixing the crude oil and formulation under opposed-flow conditions at a temperature ranging from about 20°C to 150°C, for a time ranging from about 1 minute to about 50 hours, and at a viscosity ranging from about 1 cP to about 250 cP.

7. The method of claim 6 wherein the mixing power under opposed-flow conditions ranges from about 0.1 hp per 1000 gallons to about 3 hp per 1000 gallons.

8. A composition comprising a crude oil containing a brine of salt and water and a chemical demulsifier formulation present in an amount ranging from about 1 ppm to about 10,000 ppm based on the weight of the crude oil, the chemical demulsifier formulation containing:

(a) about 10 wt.% to about 80 wt.% based on the weight of the chemical demulsifier formulation of a surfactant having the formula:



- 20 -

wherein R_1 is H or an alkoxide of from 5 to about 20 carbon atoms;

x is an integer of from about 8 to about 22 when R_1 is hydrogen and from about 2 to about 5 when R_1 is alkoxide;

R_2 is independently selected from H, $(CH_2CH_2O)_mH$,
 $(CH_2CH(CH_3)O)_mH$;

R_3 is independently selected from H, $(CH_2CH_2O)_nH$, $(CH_2CH(CH_3)O)_nH$;

m and n are integers ranging from 1 to 50;


and y and z are integers ranging from 2 to 10;

and

(b) about 90 wt.% to about 20 wt.% based on the weight of the chemical demulsifier formulation of a delivery solvent selected from the group consisting of dipropylene monobutyl ether, aromatic naphtha, isoparaffinic solvent, cycloparaffinic solvent, aromatic solvent, diethylene glycol monobutyl ether, benzyl alcohol, and mixtures thereof.

9. The composition of claim 8 wherein R_1 is H, R_2 and R_3 are $(CH_2CH_2O)_{10}H$, x is 18, y is 8, and z is 7.

10. The composition of claim 9 wherein the delivery solvent is diethylene monobutyl ether.



INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/US 00/02109

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC 7 C10G33/04

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 C10G

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the International search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	US 5 672 739 A (ROBBINS MAX LEO ET AL) 30 September 1997 (1997-09-30) cited in the application the whole document	1-10
Y	WO 96 05272 A (NALCO EXXON ENERGY CHEM LP ;SMITH DESMOND (GB)) 22 February 1996 (1996-02-22) page 5, line 9 - line 23 page 12, line 22 - line 25; claims 9,13-15	1-10
A	EP 0 696 631 A (EXXON CHEMICAL PATENTS INC) 14 February 1996 (1996-02-14) page 2, line 11 - line 13 page 6, line 10 - line 11	1-10

☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

23 May 2000

Date of mailing of the international search report

02/06/2000

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Michiels, P

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/US 00/02109

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 5672739 A	30-09-1997	NONE	
WO 9605272 A	22-02-1996	AU 3227295 A	07-03-1996
EP 0696631 A	14-02-1996	US 5609794 A	11-03-1997
		AU 690292 B	23-04-1998
		AU 2838195 A	15-02-1996
		CA 2151762 A	06-02-1996
		NO 952649 A	06-02-1996
		NZ 272658 A	29-01-1997